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## OVERVIEW

- Demographic Trends
- Challenges \& Opportunities
- Discussion
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## 6 DISRUPTIVE TRENDS

- The South Rises - Again
- The Browning of America
- Marrying Out is "In"
- The Silver Tsunami is About to Hit
- The End of Men?
- Cooling Water from Grandma's Well... and Grandpa's Too!


## People on the Move

The South Rises-Again!


# SOUTH'S SHARE OF U.S. NET POPULATION GROWTH, SELECTED YEARS, 1910-2010 

|  | U.S. Absolute <br> Population <br> Change | South's <br> Absolute <br> Population <br> Change | South's Share <br> of Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Years | $30,974,129$ | $8,468,303$ | $27 \%$ |
| $1910-1930$ | $28,123,138$ | $9,339,455$ | $33 \%$ |
| $1930-1950$ | $51,886,128$ | $15,598,279$ | $30 \%$ |
| $1950-1970$ | $45,497,947$ | $22,650,563$ | $50 \%$ |
| $1970-1990$ | $60,035,665$ | $29,104,814$ | $49 \%$ |
| $1990-2010$ |  |  |  |

## U.S. POPULATION GHANGE BY REGION, 2000-2010

|  | 2010 | Absolute <br> Population <br> Change, | Percent <br> Population <br> Change, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Region | Population | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 1 0}$ |
| U.S. | $309,050,816$ | $26,884,972$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| Northeast | $55,417,311$ | $1,753,978$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Midwest | $66,972,887$ | $2,480,998$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| South | $114,555,744$ | $14,318,924$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| West | $72,256,183$ | $8,774,852$ | $13.8 \%$ |
| Delta Region | $1,505,151$ | 116,965 | $8.4 \%$ |


| SHARES OF NET POPUTATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROWTH BY REGION, 2000- |  |  |
| Region | 2010 <br> Absolute Population <br> Change | Percent of Total |
| UNITEDSTATES | $26,884,972$ | 100.0 |
| NORTHEAST | $1,753,978$ | 6.0 |
| MIDWEST | $2,480,998$ | 9.0 |
| SOUTH | $14,318,924$ | 53.0 |
| WEST | $8,774,852$ | 32.0 |


| NET MIGRATION TRENDS, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000-2008 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Total | -1,032 | -2,008 | +2,287 | +46 |
| Black | -346 | -71 | +376 | +41 |
| Hispanic | -292 | -109 | +520 | -117 |
| Elderly | -115 | +42 | +97 | -27 |
| Foreign born | -147 | -3 | +145 | +3 |
|  |  | = Net Import | = Net Export |  |

## STATE SHARE OF SOUTH'S NET GROWTH, 2000-2010

| Region/State | Absolute Change | State's Share |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| The South | $14,318,924$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Texas | $4,293,741$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| Florida | $2,818,932$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| Georgia | $1,501,200$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| North Carolina | $1,486,170$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| Other Southern States | $4,218,881$ | $29.4 \%$ |

## GROSS AND NET MIGRATION FOR THE SOUTH, 2004-2010



## U.S. POPULATION CHANGE BY REGION, 2010-2015

|  | 2015 <br> Population <br> Change, | Percent <br> Population <br> Change, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Region | $321,418,820$ | $12,071,957$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| U.S. | $56,283,891$ | 896,717 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Northeast | $67,907,403$ | 929,898 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Midwest | $121,182,847$ | $6,319,989$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| South | $76,044,679$ | $3,925,353$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| West | $1,525,915$ | 22,764 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Delta Region |  |  |  |

## SHARES OF NET POPULATION GROWTH BY REGION, 2010-2015

| Region | Absolute Population <br> Change | Percent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| UNITED STATES | $12,071,957$ | 100.0 |
| NORTHEAST | 896,717 | 7.4 |
| MIDWEST | 929,898 | 7.7 |
| SOUTH | $6,319,989$ | 52.3 |
| WEST | $3,925,353$ | 32.5 |

## STATE SHARES OF SOUTH'S NET GROWTH, 2010-2015

| Region/State | Absolute Change | State's Share |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| The South | $6,319,989$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Texas | $2,244,751$ | $35.5 \%$ |
| Florida | $1,421,382$ | $22.5 \%$ |
| Georgia | 501,406 | $7.9 \%$ |
| North Carolina | 483,823 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Virginia | 357,206 | $5.7 \%$ |
| Other Southern | $1,311,421$ | $20.7 \%$ |
| States |  |  |

## Balance of Population Change Equation

- Population Change = In-Flows - OutFlows
where
In-flows $=[$ Births $+\ln$-Migrants $]$
\&
Out-Flows =[Deaths + Out-Migrants]


## Typology of Communities

| Demographic Experience | Drivers |
| :--- | :--- |
| Balanced Growth | Births exceed deaths and in-migration exceeds out- <br> migration. |
| Natural Growth | Out-migration exceeds in-migration but this <br> population loss is offset by an excess of births over <br> deaths. |
| Migration Magnets | Deaths exceed births but population loss is averted <br> because in-migration exceeds out-migration. |
| Dying | Deaths exceed births and out-migration exceeds in- <br> migration, resulting in population loss. |
| Biologically Declining | In-migration exceeds out-migration but his net <br> migration is not substantial enough to offset an <br> excess of deaths over births |
| Emptying Out | Births exceed deaths but out-migration exceeds in- <br> migration, resulting in net population loss |

## Types of Communities in the Delta Region, 2010-2015

| Type of Community | Number |
| :--- | :--- |
| Balanced Growth | 3 |
| Natural Growth | 2 |
| Migration Magnet | 0 |
| Emptying Out | 7 |
| Dying | 0 |
| Biologically Declining | 0 |

## Estimated Change Delta Region, 2010-2015

| Area | Total <br> Population <br> Change | Natural <br> Change | Net <br> Migration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Delta Region | 22,764 | 44,603 | $-21,459$ |

## Net Migration Delta Region, 2010-2015

| Area | Total Net <br> Migration | International <br> Net Migration | Domestic <br> Net <br> Migration |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Delta Region | $-21,459$ | 10,602 | $-32,061$ |



Natural Growth Counties, 2010-2015

| County | Total <br> Population <br> Change | Natural <br> Change | Net <br> Migration |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Tipton | 789 | 1,117 | -419 |
| Shelby | 10,429 | 31,862 | $-20,671$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Emptying Out Counties, 2010-2015

| County | Total <br> Population <br> Change | Natural <br> Change | Net <br> Migration |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tunica | -435 | 520 | -962 |
| Cross | -582 | 60 | -618 |
| Tate | -586 | 253 | -817 |
| Marshall | $-1,223$ | 178 | $-1,431$ |
| St. Francis | $-1,669$ | 443 | $-2,059$ |
| Crittenden | $-1,939$ | 1,716 | $-3,616$ |
| Mississippi | $-2,742$ | 782 | $-3,425$ |
| Tunica | -435 | 520 | -962 |
| Cross | -582 | 60 | -618 |

Two 'colorful' demographic processes are drivers of change

Browning \& Graying of America

# The "Browning" of America 

## Immigration-driven population change



## The Numbers

| Legal Immigrants |  | Refugees, Parolees, Asylees |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Year | Annual <br> Flow | Year | Annual <br> Flow |
| 1920-1961 | 206,000 | $1961-1993$ | 65,000 |
| $1961-1992$ | 561,000 | $1994-1998$ | 107,000 |
| $1993-1998$ | 800,654 | $1999-2004$ | 85,500 |
| $1999-2004$ | 879,400 | $2005-2008$ | 75,000 |
| $2005-2008$ | $1,137,000$ | $2009-2012$ | 92,500 |
| $2009-2012$ | $1,067,000$ |  |  |

## The Numbers Cont'd

## - Illegal Immigrants

- 300,000 to 400,000 annually over the past two decades
- Three million granted amnesty in 1986
- 2.7 million illegal immigrants remained after 1986 reforms
- October 1996: INS estimated there were 5 million illegal immigrants in U.S.
- Since August 2005: Estimates of illegal population have ranged between 7 million and 15 million
- Today: An estimated 11.5 million unauthorized immigrants reside in U.S.


## NON-IMMIGRANTS ADMITTED TO UNITED STATES, SELECTED YEARS, 1981-2011

| Year | All Classes | Exchange Visitors |  <br> Vocational <br> Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 11,756,903 | 108,023 (1\%) | 271,861 (2\%) |
| 1985 | 9,539,880 | 141,213 (1\%) | 285,496 (3\%) |
| 1990 | 17,574,055 | 214,644 (1\%) | 355,207 (2\%) |
| 1995 | 22,640,540 | 241,364 (1\%) | 395,480 (2\%) |
| 2000 | 33,690,082 | 351,743 (1\%) | 699,953 (2\%) |
| 2001 | 32,824,088 | 389,435 (1\%) | 741,921 (2\%) |
| 2002 | 27,907,139 | 370,176 (1\%) | 687,506 (2\%) |
| 2008 | 39,381,928 | 506,138 (1\%) | 917,373 (2\%) |
| 2011 | 53,082,286 | 526, 931 (1\%) | 1,702,730 (3\%) |



## U.S. Immigrant Population, 1900-2014



## U.S. Foreign Born Population by Race/Ethnicity, 2014

| Race/Ethnicity | Foreign Population | Share of Total (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 2 , 2 3 5 , 7 4 9}$ | 100.0 |
| Hispanic | $\mathbf{1 9 , 3 0 0 , 9 4 7}$ | 45.7 |
| White Alone, not <br> Hispanic | $\mathbf{7 , 6 5 5 , 0 0 8}$ | 18.1 |
| Black Alone, not <br> Hispanic | $\mathbf{3 , 3 7 7 , 7 3 3}$ | 8.0 |
| Asian Alone, not <br> Hispanic | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 3 6 , 0 5 9}$ | 26.1 |
| Other Alone, not <br> Hispanic | $\mathbf{8 6 6 , 0 0 2}$ | 2.1 |

## U.S. POPULATION GHANGE BY RACE \& ETHNICITY, 2000-2010

|  |  | Percentage <br> Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Race | 2010 Population | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 1 0}$ |
| Total | $308,745,538$ | $27,323,632$ | $9.7 \%$ |
| Non-Hispanic | $258,267,944$ | $12,151,856$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| White | $196,817,552$ | $2,264,778$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Black | $37,685,848$ | $3,738,011$ | $11.0 \%$ |
| Al/AN | $2,247,098$ | 178,215 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Asian | $14,465,124$ | $4,341,955$ | $42.9 \%$ |
| NH/PI | 481,576 | 128,067 | $36.2 \%$ |
| 2 or More Races | $5,966,481$ | $1,364,335$ | $29.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $50,477,594$ | $15,171,776$ | $43.0 \%$ |

## NON-WHITE AND HISPANIC SHARES OF POPULATION GROWTH, 2000-2010

|  | Absolute <br> Population <br> Change | Non-White <br> Share | Hispanic <br> Share |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| US | $27,323,632$ | 91.7 | 55.5 |
| South | $14,318,924$ | 79.6 | 46.4 |
| Texas | $4,293,741$ | 89.2 | 65.0 |
| Florida | $2,818,932$ | 84.9 | 54.7 |
| Georgia | $1,501,206$ | 81.0 | 27.9 |
| North Carolina | $1,486,170$ | 61.2 | 28.3 |


| MEDIAN AGE OFU.S. POPULATION BY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RACE, HISPANIC ORIGIN \& GENDER, |  |  |  |
|  | 2009 |  |  |
| Race | Total | Male | Female |
| United States | 36.8 | 35.4 | 38.2 |
| White Alone | 38.3 | 37.0 | 39.6 |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 41.2 | 39.9 | 42.6 |
| Black Alone | 31.3 | 29.4 | 33.3 |
| Al/AN Alone | 29.5 | 29.0 | 30.2 |
| Asian Alone | 33.6 | 32.6 | 34.6 |
| NH/PI Alone | 29.9 | 29.5 | 30.3 |
| Two or More Races | 19.7 | 18.9 | 20.5 |
| Hispanic | 27.4 | 27.4 | 27.5 |
| November 2014 |  |  | 37 |



| RELATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF U.S. |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| POPULATION BY RACIE / |  |  |
| ETHNICITY |  |  |
| Race/Ethnicity | 2005 | 2050 |
| White | $67 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Blacks | $12.8 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Hispanics | $14 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Asian | $5 \%$ | $9 \%$ |


| DELTA REGION COMMUNITIES POPULATION GHANGE BY RACE \& ETHNIGITY, 2000-2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | $2010$ <br> Population | Absolute Change 2000-2010 | Percentage Change 2000-2010 |
| Total | 1,505,151 | 116,965 | 8.4\% |
| Non-Hispanic | 1,432,369 | 77,104 | 5.7\% |
| White | 738,631 | -19,801 | -2.6\% |
| Black | 645,485 | 80,931 | 14.3\% |
| Al/AN | 3,309 | 549 | 19.9\% |
| Asian | 25,811 | 9,137 | 54.8\% |
| NH/PI | 456 | 88 | 23.9\% |
| Some other race | 1,504 | 327 | 27.8\% |
| 2 or More Races | 17,173 | 5,873 | 52.0\% |
| Hispanic | 72,782 | 39,861 | 121.1\% |

Female Median Age, 2010-2014

|  | White | Black | Latino |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shelby | 42.8 | 31.5 | 25.1 |
| Fayette | 46.5 | 37.5 | 23.2 |
| Tipton | 39.2 | 32.3 | 23.2 |
| Craighead | 37.6 | 22.2 | 22.9 |
| Crittenden | 42.1 | 29.3 | 25.6 |
| Cross | 42.1 | 38.0 | NA |
| Mississippi | 41.4 | 28.1 | 21.6 |
| St. Francis | 45.1 | 33.3 | 33.9 |
| DeSoto | 39.5 | 31.9 | 25.2 |
| Marshall | 46.3 | 34.4 | 26.6 |
| Tate | 40.6 | 30.2 | 30.5 |
| Tunica | 48.0 | 29.0 | 24.7 |

## The ${ }^{66}$ Graying" of America

The Silver Tsunami is about to hit

## Key Drivers

- Changes in Longevity
- Declining Fertility
- Aging of Boomer Cohort


## U.S. LIFE EXPECTANGY AT BIRTH

| YEAR | AGE |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1900 | 47.3 |
| 1930 | 59.7 |
| 1960 | 69.7 |
| 1997 | 76.5 |
| 2007 | 77.9 |
| 2010 | 78.3 |
| 2030 | 101.0 |

## Centenarians in the U.S.

| Year | Number |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1950 | 2,300 |
| 2010 | 79,000 |
| 2050 | 601,000 |

## COMPLETED FERTILITY FOR WOMEN 40-44 YEARS OLD

| Year | Avg. <br> Childless | Percent <br> Higher <br> Number of <br> Children | Order <br> Births* |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 20 | 1.9 | 28 |
| 1976 | 10 | 3.1 | 59 |

*Three or more Children

| TOTAL FERTILITY RATES FOR U.S. WOMEN BY |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| RACE/ETHNICITY, 2012 |  |
| Race/Ethnicity | Total Fertility Rate |
| All Races | 1.88 |
| Hispanic | 2.18 |
| Non-Hispanic White | 1.76 |
| Blacks | 1.90 |
| Asian | 1.77 |
| Native American | 1.35 |


| U.S. POPULATION CHANGEBY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE, 2000-2010 |  |  |  |
| Age | 2010 | Absolute Change 2000-2010 | Percentage Change 2000-2010 |
| <25 | 104,853,555 | 5,416,289 | 5.4\% |
| 25-44 | 82,134,554 | -2,905,697 | -3.4\% |
| 45-64 | 81,489,445 | 19,536,809 | 31.5\% |
| $65+$ | 40,267,984 | 5,276,231 | 15.1\% |
| TOTAL | 308,745,538 | 27,323,632 | 9.7\% |

## U.S. POPULATION TURNING 50, 55, 62, AND 65 YEARS OF AGE, (20072015)

| Age | Age | Age | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 55 | 62 | 65 |

Average Number/Day $\quad 12,344 \quad 11,541 \quad 9,221 \quad 8,032$

| Average Number/Minute | 8.6 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 5.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Absolute and Percent Population Change by Age, 2000-2010

| Age | United States | Delta Region |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| All Ages | $27,323,632$ | 116,965 |
|  | $(9.7 \%)$ | $(8.4 \%)$ |
| $<25$ | $5,416,292$ | 20,302 |
|  | $(5.4 \%)$ | $(3.9 \%)$ |
| $45-44$ | $-2,905,697$ | $-14,355$ |
|  | $(-3.4 \%)$ | $(-3.4 \%)$ |
|  | $19,536,809$ | 91,410 |
|  | $(31.5 \%)$ | $(30.9 \%)$ |
| $65+$ | $5,276,231$ | 19,608 |
|  | $(15.1 \%)$ | $(13.7 \%)$ |

## The Multigenerational Workforce

## Multi-Generational Diversity

| Generation | Birth Years | Current Ages | Est. Workforce <br> Participation in <br> $2013^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veterans <br> Traditionalists <br> WWII Generation <br> Silent Generation | $1922-1945$ | $70-93$ | $5 \%$ <br> $(7 \mathrm{M})$ |
| Baby Boomers <br> "Boomers" | $1946-1964$ | $51-69$ | $38 \%$ <br> $(60 \mathrm{M})$ |
| Generation X <br> Baby Busters | $1965-1980$ | $35-50$ | $32 \%$ <br> $(51 \mathrm{M})$ |
| Generation Y <br> Millennials | $1981-2000$ | $15-34$ | $25 \%$ <br> $(40 \mathrm{M})$ |

# Succession Planning \& Accommodations for Elder Care 

 Organizational Game Changers!
## Signs of Global Aging

- Japan sells more adult diapers than baby diapers.
- Vancouver outlaws use of door knobs in all new construction, including private homes.
- China grappling with the 4-2-1 problem.
- Census Benchmark for White Americans: More Deaths than Births (Roberts, 2013).


## Family Life is Changing

Ozzie and Harriet are no longer the norm!


## INTERMARRIAGE TREND, 19802008 <br> \% Married Someone of a Different Race/Ethnicity <br> 

## INTERMARRIAGE TYPES

Newly Married Couples in 2008



## Living Arrangements are more diverse ...

## And Interesting!

## COOLING WATERS FROM GRANDMA'S WELL <br> And Grandpa's Too!

Children Living in Non-Grandparent and Grandparent
Households, 2001-2010

| Household Type | Absolute Number <br> 2010 | Absolute Change <br> 2001-2010 | Percent Change <br> $2001-2010$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| All | 74,718 | 2,712 | 3.8 |
| No Grandparents | 67,209 | 917 | 1.4 |
| Both <br> Grandparents | 2,610 | 771 | 41.9 |
| Grandmother <br> Only | 1,922 | 164 | 9.3 |
| Grandfather Only | $\mathbf{3 1 8}$ | 71 | 28.7 |

## Ghildren Living in Non-Grandparent and

Grandparent-Headed Households by Presence of Parents, 2010

| Household <br> Type | All Children <br> (in thousands) | Living with <br> Both <br> Parents | Living with <br> Mother <br> Only | Living with <br> Father <br> Only | Living with <br> Neither <br> parent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All | 74,718 | $69.3 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| No <br> Grandparents | 67,209 | $73.4 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| Both <br> Grandparents | 2,610 | $18.1 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ |
| Grandmother <br> Only | 1,922 | $13.8 \%$ | $48.4 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $33.2 \%$ |
| Grandfather <br> Only | 318 | $26.4 \%$ | $45.9 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |

## Couple Households with Children, 2009

| Type of <br> Couple | Number of <br> Households <br> with <br> Children | Percent <br> Own <br> Children | Percent <br> Unrelated <br> Children |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Married- <br> opposite sex | $23,453,504$ | 99.6 | 0.4 |
| Unmarried <br> -opposite <br> sex | $2,493,838$ | 86.9 | 13.1 |
| Same Sex | 104,949 | 90.2 | 9.8 |

## Same Sex Couple Households with Children, 2009

| Type of <br> Couple | Number of <br> Households <br> with <br> Children | Percent <br> Own <br> Children | Percent <br> Unrelated <br> Children |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Same Sex | 104,949 | 90.2 | 9.8 |
| Unmarried <br> Male-Male | 33,010 | 94.5 | 5.5 |
| Unmarried <br> Female- <br> Female | 71,936 | 88.3 | 11.7 |



## Workforce Planning and Development Challenges

- The End of Men?
- The Triple Whammy of Geographic Disadvantage
- Education necessary, but not sufficient




## JOBS LOST/ GAINED BY GENDER DURING 2007 (Q4) 2009 (Q3) REGESSION

| Industry | Women | Men |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Construction | $-106,000$ | $-1,300,000$ |
| Manufacturing | $-106,000$ | $-1,900,000$ |
| Healthcare | $+451,800$ | $+118,100$ |
| Government | $+176,000$ | $+12,000$ |
| Total | $-1,700,000$ | $-4,700,000$ |

## THE PLIGHT OF MEN

- Today, three times as many men of working age do not work at all compared to 1969.
- Selective male withdrawal from labor market-rising non-employment due largely to skills mismatches, disabilities \& incarceration.
- The percentage of prime-aged men receiving disability insurance doubled between 1970 (2.4\%) and 2009 (4.8\%).
- Since 1969 median wage of the American male has declined by almost $\$ 13,000$ after accounting for inflation.
- After peaking in 1977, male college completion rates have barely changed over the past 35 years.


## COLLEGE CLASS OF 2010

| DEGREE | MALE | FEMALE | DIFFERENCE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Associate's | 293,000 | 486,000 | 193,000 |
| Bachelor's | 702,000 | 946,000 | 244,000 |
| Master's | 257,000 | 391,000 | 134,000 |
| Professional | 46,800 | 46,400 | -400 |
| Doctor's | 31,500 | 32,900 | 1,400 |
| TOTAL | $1,330,300$ | $1,902,300$ | 572,000 |
|  |  |  |  |

## ENROLLMENT IN 2 YEAR COLLEGES, 2009

|  | Total | Full Time <br> Enrollment <br> (\%) | Male <br> Enrollment <br> $(\%)$ | Black <br> Enrollment |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Area | Enrollment | $20,966,826$ | 63 | 43 |

## UNC SYSTEM STUDENT ENROLLMENT BY GENDER AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION,

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Type of } \\ \text { Institution }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 20010 } \\ \text { Enrollment }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Male } \\ \text { Enrollment }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{r}Percent <br>

Male\end{array}\right\}\)

# The Triple Whammy of Geographical Disadvantage The Human Capital Challenge 




U.S. Racial Segregation by Census Tract






## Summary Indicators of Exposure

| Level of <br> Vulnerability | Number of Youth | Percent <br> Non-White |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Triple Whammy | $\mathbf{9 . 8}$ million | 93 |
| Double <br> Whammy | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2}$ million | 81 |
| Single Whammy | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0}$ million | 39 |
| No Whammy | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1}$ million | 24 |




NOTE: For each school district, the percent of students receiving one or more out of school suspensions (OSS) is calculated by dividing the district's cumulative number of students receiving one or more out-of-school suspensions for the entre $2011-2012$ school year, by the districrs student eneolment based on a count of students take on a single day between September 27 and December 31. Because racelethnicity detais on OSS are not avalable for students receiving services under 504, the O


NOTE: For each school distinct, the percent of sludents receling one or more out of school suspensions (OSS) is calculated by dividing the districts cummuative number students receiving one or more out-of-school suspensions ior the entre 2011 -2012 schoor year, by the district's student enrol ment based on a count or studenis take percentages reported for students with disabilites are restricted to data for students receiving senvices under IDEA.
percentages reported for students with disabilities are restricted to data for students receiving services under IDEA.

## Male-Female Presence Disparity

Total Number of EOC Test Takers


Graph shows total number of male and female students tested of 6 LEAs (Bertie, Bladen, Duplin, Halifax, Northampton, and Pamlico)

## Percent of High School Graduates Requiring Remedial Course Work



# The Delta Region Triple Whammy 

## Racial Typology of the Delta Region
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Majority Minority Counties
Majority Majority Counties

## Distribution of School Age Population by Racial County Typology







## The Delta Region Segregation by Census Tract
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The Delta Region Segregation by Census Tract


Distribution of School Age Population by
Race and Level of Neighborhood Segregation

| Predominantly White |
| :--- |
| $30 \%$ <br> Nonwhite  <br> White $70 \%$ <br> Black $19 \%$ <br> Asian  <br> Hispanic  <br> Two or more $3 \%$  |

Predominantly Non-White 159,015


Mixed Tracts 52,237



## The Delta Region Poverty by Census Tract
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The Delta Region Poverty by Census Tract


## The Delta Region Poverty by Census Tract



Extreme Poverty ( $40 \%+$ )
High Poverty ( $25 \%$ - 39.9\%)
Low Poverty (<24.9\%)


The Triple Whammy of Geographic Disadvantage Delta Region

 $\qquad$


Yamen


## BACHELOR'S DEGREE HOLDERS (UNDER AGE 25) WHO WERE JOBLESS OR UNDEREMPLOYED

# CHANGE IN INGIDENGE OF POVERTY BY EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT IN NC, 2005-2007, 

|  | 8-20 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Educational |  |  | Percent |
| Attainment | 2005-2007 | 2008-2010 | Change |
| Less than High School | 253,304 | 276,757 | 9.3\% |
| High School Graduate | 216,667 | 234,371 | 8.2\% |
| Some College, Associate Degree | 136,185 | 186,834 | 37.2\% |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 49,082 | 57,919 | 18.0\% |

## THE COMPETITIVE TOOL KIT

- Analytical Reasoning
- Entrepreneurial Acumen
- Contextual Intelligence
- Soft Skills/Cultural Elasticity
- Agility and Flexibility


## Implications for Workforce Planning and Development

- Manage the transition from the "graying" to the "browning" of America.
- Embrace immigrants.
- Address the "wayward sons" problem in U.S. education and labor markets.
- Become more actively involved in K-12 education as a form of enlightened self-interest.
- Establish stronger ties with K-20 education to ensure that students graduate with the requisite skills to add value and enhance your competitiveness in an ever-changing global marketplace.
- Invest in business development \& job creation potential of the elder care economy, diverse ethnic markets, \& nascent freelance economy.


# Think about Urban Design for 

 Our Aging Population

## Urban Design Principles

- Visitability of institutional settings
- Senior playgrounds \& fitness parks
- Universally accessible transport systems
- Complete street ordinances
- Extended walk times at pedestrian crosswalks
- Senior friendly street signage


## OPPORTUNITIES

- Cater products \& labeling to emerging groups
- Design \& package products with equality in mind


## LABELING \& PACKAGING

- Easy to Read
- Easyto Understand
- Easy to Carry
- Easyto Enjoy
- Safer to Use


## DEFINITION OF EASY TO CARRY: CURRENT VS. FUTURE

| Current Population | Future Grey Population |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bulky and Heavy | Minimal Unit Size and Weight |
| Big-sized cart, shopping cart, car trunk | Compact car, Rolling carriage |
| Price Sensitive | Weight Sensitive |
| Aesthetics Is More Important | Function Is More Important |
| Mostly carry with one hand | Handle with both hands |



